Gene Expression Profiling during Wilting in Chickpea Caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* F. sp. *Ciceri*
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ABSTRACT

*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* (Foc), one of the most important fungal pathogen of chickpea, is a constant threat to this crop plant. In the present study gene expression analysis of chickpea roots during *Foc* infection was performed using various approaches. cDNAs derived from total mRNA during infection process of susceptible (JG62) and resistant (Digvijay) cultivars, were amplified using random oligonucleotides. Sequence characterization of differentially expressed transcripts revealed their homology with many plant genes essential for various metabolic functions including defense. Further, expression patterns of specific candidate gene transcripts were analyzed in the *Foc* inoculated and uninoculated resistant and susceptible chickpea cultivars, on day 6 of infection. Semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis of defense related genes was performed using gene specific oligonucleotides in resistant and susceptible chickpea cultivars. The expression of fungal pathogenesis related genes and their race specific response was determined throughout the course of *chickpea-Foc* interaction. Temporal expression and race specific response of plant defense related and fungal virulence genes were studied in the resistant and susceptible cultivars of chickpea inoculated with three races of *Foc* highlighting the host-pathogen interactions. Few genes, involved in chickpea defense against Fusarium wilt which were not reported previously were unveiled in this study.
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1. Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is the most abundantly grown legume in India, which contributes to 64% of the world production and serves as an important source of dietary protein for the Indian vegetarian population [1]. Chickpea plants are affected by various biotic and abiotic stresses. Fungal diseases, especially Fusarium wilt and Ascochyta blight are the important biotic factors for major yield loss of this crop worldwide. The causative agent of Fusarium wilt, *Fusarium oxysporum* is a soil borne, facultative, vascular wilt fungus that provokes economically important losses in approximately 80 crops including chickpea [2]. Individual pathogenic strains within the species; having an ability to infect a particular host range have been assigned to intraspecific groups called forma speciales [3]. Some of the forma speciales are further divided into races based on differential virulence to a set of cultivars e.g. *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* (Foc) infecting chickpea. Similar to other soil borne diseases, various strategies have been employed for controlling Fusarium wilt, such as, use of chemical fungicides, biological control, etc., but most of these strategies have proven ineffective or have hazardous effect. Widely accepted and cost effective strategy is to develop and use wilt resistant cultivars [4].

A complex interaction between plant and its fungal pathogen is an outcome of expression of both, plant defense genes as well as fungal pathogenesis related genes. The result of such a relationship is projected as either resistance or disease development in the plant. There are multiple events involved that lead to successful plant defense during pathogen attack. Further, these defense mechanisms are governed by an array of genes, which are either singly or synergistically, involved in plant resistance traits. Many defense related genes have been cloned and characterized in an attempt to elucidate the mechanism of defense upon *F. oxysporum* attack in various plant species, including chickpea. In our earlier studies, enzymes like glucanases, chitinases and proteases have been shown to be probably involved in chickpea defense against *Foc* infection [5]. Further, various up-regulated transcript derived fragments like 14-3-3, WRKY and NBS-LRR type sequences as well as transposable elements, have been identified using cDNA-RAPD and cDNA-AFLP techniques [6]. However, exact molecular
mechanisms involved in chickpea resistance are still unexplored.

Understanding the pathogenicity mechanism of fungi, on the other hand, demands knowledge of the virulence factors, which are active in the host environment. Till date, many pathogens have been studied in context to their virulence and various genes with a prime role in fungal pathogenesis have been identified. Various G protein subunits have been reported to be necessary for fungal morphogenesis, development as well as virulence [7]. A few genes specific for the virulence of *Fusarium* spp. have been identified. Chitin synthase genes [8] and transcription factors like *Ftfl* [9] are essential for virulence of *Fusarium*. Since then, contribution of few more genes in pathogenesis of *F. oxysporum* has been established. Specifically, in *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici*, genes like *Fowl* and *Fow2* [10,11]; *Six1*, *Six2*, and *SSH1* [12] have been found to be involved in its virulence. However, in-depth search of mechanisms and the genes involved in pathogenicity is essential in wilt causing root pathogens.

Race specificity is an important criterion in fungal pathogenesis, and plant defense response is dependent on it. In this study, we have analyzed the race specific expression of various plant and fungal genes and identified various up-regulated transcripts using several approaches. Crop plants are constantly exposed to their pathogens in the field which has directed us to consider a wide time-scale (2 - 16 dai) for the expression analysis of plant and fungal genes involved in the chickpea-*Foc* interaction. An attempt has also been made to analyze the expression pattern of four important fungal genes previously reported to be essential for growth and pathogenesis, namely *Fgb1* (G protein subunit), *Gas1* (glucanosyltransferase), *chs7* (chitin synthase chaperonin) and *Fow1* (mitochondrial carrier protein). The host-pathogen interaction using chickpea-*Foc* system has been unveiled in this study.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Fungal Cultures

Standard races of *Foc* namely, 1(NRRL 32153), 2 (NRRL 32154) and 4 (NRRL 32156) were obtained from the International Crops Research Institute for Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India, where they were already characterized and classified for their race specificity using conventional method of race identification. Molecular diversity and race specificity of these cultures were established in our laboratory [13]. The cultures were maintained on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) plants with timely sub-culturing and infection to a susceptible cultivar, JG62.

2.2. Plant Material

*C. arietinum* seeds of cultivars, JG62 and Digvijay, used in this study were obtained from Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth (MPKV), Rahuri, Maharashtra, India. Cultivar JG62 (pedigree-selection from germplasm) is susceptible while Digvijay (pedigree-Phule G-91028 X Bheema) is resistant to *Fusarium* wilt.

2.3. Seed Germination and Inoculation

Seeds were wrapped in wet sterile muslin cloth and stored at room temperature (24°C to 26°C) till sprouting. The sprouted seeds were transferred to trays containing sterile water with macro- and micro-nutrients (half strength Hoagland’s nutrient medium) [6] and kept at 22°C and 60% relative humidity under normal day conditions (14 h light/10 h dark). Freshly prepared suspension (1 × 106 spores/mL) of *Foc* races 1, 2 and 4 was added individually to the sterile hydroponic trays containing seven days old chickpea seedlings. Seedlings grown in similar trays with no pathogen (un-inoculated plants) served as control. The pathogenicity assays were conducted in triplicates.

2.4. Sample Collection and RNA Extraction

Total RNA was extracted from chickpea roots collected at various time intervals such as 2, 6, 9, 13 and 16 days after inoculation (DAI) using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) as described by Nimbalkar et al. (2006) [6]. The DNase treated RNA was used for the first strand cDNA synthesis using RT-PCR kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Undiluted or 1:100 diluted cDNA was used for PCR using RAPD oligonucleotides (OPAD and OPAE series, Operon technologies, Huntsville, AL, USA) or gene specific oligonucleotides.

2.5. Cloning of Amplified cDNA Fragments and Their Sequence Characterization

cDNAs isolated from *Foc* inoculated and uninoculated JG62 and Digvijay plant roots were used as templates for amplification with RAPD oligonucleotides (OPAD and OPAE series, Operon technologies, USA). The amplified product from cDNA of resistant inoculated cultivar, Digvijay was cloned in pGEM-t easy vector (Promega, USA). Cloned cDNA fragments were sequenced by dideoxy termination method and the sequences were determined using an automated DNA sequencer (MegaBACE1000, Amersham Biosciences, NJ, USA). The nucleotide sequences were compared with the reported nucleotide sequences in Genbank nonredundant database using the BLAST sequence alignment program [14]. Oligonucleotides designed from amplified sequences were used for expression analysis of transcripts in inoculated and un-inoculated cDNA pool of Digvijay and JG62 root tissues. Plant specific cDNA pool was normalized using 18s rRNA oligonucleotides while fungal specific cDNA pool was normalized using ITS oligonucleotides.
2.6. Expression Analysis of Known Specific Genes

Gene specific oligonucleotides were designed from the conserved regions of plant defense related genes and fungal virulence related genes using sequences available in the NCBI Genbank database (database-fungi and database-Fabaceae) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) (Table 1).

The semi-quantitative RT-PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 20 to 25 cycles of 94°C for 1 min denaturation, 55°C for 1 min annealing and 72°C for 1 min extension. Final extension was carried out at 72°C for 7 min. The annealing temperature was varied according to the sequence of the oligonucleotide used. PCR products were visualized on 1.5% agarose gel by electrophoresis in TAE buffer system. Template used for this analysis was the cDNA from Digvijay and JG62 uninoculated and inoculated with *Foc* race 1, 2 and 4.

Table 1. Primers used for expression analysis of chickpea-*Foc* transcriptome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tri-F</td>
<td>ctgctgtacgcaagactc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-R</td>
<td>cgtgaaggtgacaacgaaaga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fo-transposase-F</td>
<td>ttgcatgatgtgaccacag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fo-transposase-R</td>
<td>ggggtttaagttgggc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2A-F</td>
<td>etgacctacaaagggagga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2A-R</td>
<td>agatcccaaaagtcgtga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60srp-F</td>
<td>gatcaggaggaacgaaataa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60srp-R</td>
<td>gatcaggaggaacgaaataa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GroES2-F</td>
<td>ctgaggtggagttcgatggt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GroES2-R</td>
<td>gatcaggaggaacgaaataa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glyt-F</td>
<td>ctgacggttacgccctgg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glyt-R</td>
<td>cgaacggaggtgacgcatc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msr-F</td>
<td>acctgccagttgcagaaat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msr-R</td>
<td>aaaaacccctcccctgaga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rrp-F</td>
<td>caagctcttcgatgagacaaat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rrp-R</td>
<td>caagctcttcgatgagacaaat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betv1-F</td>
<td>tcagctgcttcgatgagacaaat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betv1-R</td>
<td>tcagctgcttcgatgagacaaat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHS-F</td>
<td>gatcggaggtgacgcatc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHS-R</td>
<td>caacccactacagctcttc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFR-F</td>
<td>gctgtgctataacctgagag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFR-R</td>
<td>gctgtgctataacctgagag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas1F</td>
<td>gacctccagactctgcag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas1R</td>
<td>ctttaagacagacacatag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chs7F</td>
<td>gcctggcgggatagt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chs7R</td>
<td>gcctggcgggatagt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fgb1F</td>
<td>gatcggaggtgacgcatc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fgb1R</td>
<td>cacgagagaggccacacct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOW1F</td>
<td>gatcggaggtgacgcatc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOW1R</td>
<td>gatcggaggtgacgcatc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS-Fu-F</td>
<td>caacccctccctgag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS-Fu-R</td>
<td>gcctggcgggatagt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7. Genbank Accession Numbers

Nucleotide sequence of chickpea defense related genes namely, *GroES2* (Genbank acc. no. GW342895), gene for 60s ribosomal protein (Genbank acc. no. GW342896), *Betv1* (Genbank acc. no. GW342897), *CHS* (Genbank acc. no. GW342898) and *IFR* (Genbank acc. no. GW342899) as well as *Fusarium* pathogenesis related genes namely, *Fgb1* (Genbank acc. no. GW342900), *Gas1* (Genbank acc. no. GW342901), *Chs7* (Genbank acc. no. GW342902) and *Fow1* (Genbank acc. no. GW342903) were deposited in NCBI database.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Pathogenicity Assays

Seven days old chickpea plants were inoculated with fungal spores of *Foc* races individually and uninoculated plants served as control. In general, Digvijay cultivar was found to grow slower as compared to JG62 cultivar. Upon infection JG62 showed wilting symptoms by 10 dai, while no wilting was observed in Digvijay even by 25 dai (till the plants were allowed to grow hydroponically). Within *Foc* infected JG62; race 1 infected JG62 showed wilting symptoms earlier than race 4 followed by race 2. Figure 1 shows the chickpea cultivars, JG62 and Digvijay, inoculated (control) and race 1, 2 and 4 inoculated (showing wilting symptoms). Root tissues of both the cultivars (uninoculated and inoculated with *Foc* races) were further used for gene expression studies from several biological as well as technical replicates. For RAPD analysis *Foc* 1 infected tissue at 6 dai, was used while for candidate gene expression studies *Foc* 1, 2 and 4 inoculated tissues were analyzed at different time points from 2 - 16 dai.

3.2. cDNA-RAPD of Inoculated Resistant and Susceptible Chickpea Cultivars

Previously, Nimbalkar et al. (2006) [6] reported cDNA-RAPD and cDNA-AFLP analysis with *Foc* 1 inoculated susceptible (JG62) and resistant (Vijay) chickpea varieties from our lab. In this study, the expression of transcription factors such as WRKY proteins, 14-3-3 proteins, and NBS-LRR-type gene sequences and other genes such as gamma-glutamyl-cysteine synthetase during chickpea-*Foc* 1 interaction was analyzed.
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Three fungal genes represented in this study were homologous to Trichothecene 3-O-acetyltransferase (*Tri*), fungal transposase and fungal regulatory protein, respectively. Altogether, 27% of the clones were homologous to DNA/protein synthesis related proteins such as histone proteins and ribosomal proteins; while 7% showed high homology to chaperonins, namely *GroES*2 and *Hsp*70. Further, a class of clones obtained from inoculated Digvijay roots revealed significant homologies to glycosyltransferase and methionine sulfoxide reductase (*Msr*) genes. A strong homology of one of the transcripts was observed to a ripening related protein of chickpea. This sequence information was used to design oligonucleotides, which were then deployed for expression analysis of the identified genes in inoculated and uninoculated, Digvijay and JG62 plants.

### 3.3. Involvement of Important Fungal and Plant Specific Genes during *Foc* Wilt

All the oligonucleotides designed from the sequence information obtained as above were used for semi-quantitative RT-PCR in case of JG62 and Digvijay inoculated with *Foc* race 1. However, only eight of these oligonucleotide pairs could reveal consistently reproducible and differential amplification profiles and were used for further analysis. Figure 2 shows the differential expression pattern of plant defense related and fungal specific transcripts of Digvijay and JG62 at 6 dai. Although resistant cultivar Digvijay showed no wilting symptoms upon *Foc* inoculation, fungal genes were found to be expressed; indicating the fungal growth and proliferation in the resistant cultivar. In this study, fungal *Tri101* gene was found to be upregulated during *Foc*-chickpea interaction (Figure 2), although not all the species of the genus *Fusarium* express trichothecene. However, some species have been reported to harbour both, functional and non-functional copies of *Tri* genes [16]. Semi quantitative RT-PCR revealed the expression of transposase gene during fungal invasion of chickpea roots (Figure 2). Transcription of fungal transposons is known to occur during carbon or nitrogen starvation condition; which mimics the pathogenesis conditions in fungi [17].

Apart from the above mentioned fungal genes, several plant genes were found to express in Digvijay upon *Foc* inoculation. Histone proteins are involved in chromosome duplication of eukaryotic genome and are shown to be overexpressed in plants during pathogen attack [18].
Table 2. Homology of cDNA clones generated using random primers with reported sequences in NCBI database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone ID.</th>
<th>Accession no.</th>
<th>Homology</th>
<th>E value</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-60</td>
<td>GW421169</td>
<td><em>C. arietinum</em> mRNA for putative ripening related protein [AJ487040]</td>
<td>5.00E−140</td>
<td>Structural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-62</td>
<td>GW421170</td>
<td>Zinc finger (C3HC4-type RING finger) family protein <em>A. thaliana</em> [NP_190386]</td>
<td>3.00E−16</td>
<td>Unknown function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-64</td>
<td>GW421171</td>
<td><em>C. arietinum</em> 60s ribosomal protein L6 [AJ276271.1]</td>
<td>9.00E−56</td>
<td>Protein synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-65</td>
<td>GW421172</td>
<td>Histone H2A <em>C. arietinum</em> [O65759]</td>
<td>1.00E−49</td>
<td>DNA synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-68</td>
<td>GW421173</td>
<td>Transposase <em>F. oxysporum</em> f. sp. <em>melonis</em> [AAP31248]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Fungal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-69</td>
<td>GW421174</td>
<td><em>M. truncatula</em> clone mth2-18n7, complete sequence [AC152751]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Unknown function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-71</td>
<td>GW421175</td>
<td>Pentatricopeptide (PPR) repeat-containing protein <em>A. thaliana</em> [NP_175985]</td>
<td>3.00E−14</td>
<td>Unknown function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-73</td>
<td>GW421176</td>
<td>Regulatory protein <em>F. culmorum</em> [AAN08452]</td>
<td>2.00E−13</td>
<td>Fungal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-74</td>
<td>GW421177</td>
<td>Ribosomal protein S21e <em>M. truncatula</em> [ABD28456]</td>
<td>1.00E−38</td>
<td>Protein synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-76</td>
<td>GW421178</td>
<td>Glycosyltransferase <em>G. max</em> [CAI94900]</td>
<td>2.00E−20</td>
<td>Defense related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-81</td>
<td>GW421179</td>
<td>Fiber protein Fb11 <em>Zea mays</em> [NP_001148662.1]</td>
<td>1.00E−11</td>
<td>Structural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-88</td>
<td>GW421180</td>
<td>Tricothecene 3-O-acetyltransferase <em>G. zeae</em> [ACM67312.1]</td>
<td>1.00E−57</td>
<td>Fungal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-89</td>
<td>GW421181</td>
<td>Chloroplast methionine sulfoxide reductase B2 precursor <em>N. tabacum</em> [ABU49226]</td>
<td>5.00E−61</td>
<td>Defense related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-92</td>
<td>GW421182</td>
<td>GroES like protein <em>A. hypogaea</em> [ACF74274.1]</td>
<td>5.00E−28</td>
<td>Chaperonin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPD-94</td>
<td>GW421183</td>
<td><em>M. sativa</em> heat shock protein 70 [AY830127.1]</td>
<td>3.00E−29</td>
<td>Chaperonin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the present study, expression of H2A histone protein was found to be unaffected upon infection (Figure 2), while previous reports showed an increase in histone protein level upon fungal infection in *Petroselinum crispum* [19]. On the other hand, gene for 60s ribosomal protein (60srp) was found to be abundantly expressed in the inoculated Digvijay plants, suggesting active changes in metabolism of inoculated chickpea roots (Figure 2). It is likely, since the higher need of protein synthesis arises during the plant defense [15]. Similar results were obtained by McFadden et al. (2004) [20], where they showed an increase in ribosomal protein transcript level during cotton root infection by *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum*.

Glycosyltransferase gene was upregulated in inoculated chickpea plants as compared to its expression in healthy plants, suggesting its role in mounting defense against pathogen attack (Figure 2). This is concordant with the information available from tomato and tobacco plants wherein, glycosyltransferases have been shown to respond rapidly to signals from wounds and pathogen attack [21,22]. In inoculated roots of chickpea plants, upregulation in the expression of Msr gene was observed (Figure 2). In earlier reports also, Cauliflower mosaic virus (CMV) exposed *Arabidopsis* leaves showed a strong induction of the plastidic Msr gene (*c-pmsr*) after 2 to 3 weeks of chronic pathogen infection [23].

Figure 2. Expression of transcripts in non-inoculated and *Foc*1 inoculated Digvijay (DVu and DVi) and JG62 (JGu and JGi) chickpea cultivars at 6 dai. *Tri101* (tricothecene 3-O-acetyltransferase), *transposase*, *H2A* (histone protein 2), 60srp (gene for 60s ribosomal protein), *GroES2* (chaperonin), *glycosyltransferase*, *Msr* (methionine sulfoxide reductase), ripening related protein, *ITS* (Intertranscribed spacer region) and 18s *rRNA* (for cDNA normalization). Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed at 20 to 25 cycles depending upon the specific genes and their amplified products.
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Ripening related proteins are involved in fruit development and ripening. However, these proteins are also thought to protect the developing fruit from pathogen attack and are expressed during symbiotic relationships in plants [24]. A slight increase in the transcripts of ripening related protein was observed, in the *Foc* inoculated chickpea cultivars as compared to uninoculated one, projecting its involvement in plant defense (Figure 2). However, the exact role of this protein during pathogen attack needs to be justified. Chaperonin molecules are reported to be essential to the plants during biotic and abiotic stress conditions [25]. However, in our studies GroES2 chaperonin was found to be downregulated in *Foc* inoculated chickpea plants as compared to the uninoculated plants at 6 dai (Figure 2).

### 3.4. Plant Defense Related Gene Expression in Chickpea upon Inoculation with *Foc* Races 1, 2 and 4

Variation in the expression pattern of specific genes observed in the above studies led us to perform detailed analysis of expression of candidate plant defense genes in order to understand the contribution of these genes in plant defense. For this various stages of disease development in the susceptible and resistant chickpea cultivars, JG62 and Digvijay, respectively, inoculated with all the three races of *Foc*, namely *Foc* 1, 2 and 4 were used. As mentioned previously, chickpea root cDNA, control as well as *Foc* race 1, 2 and 4 inoculated, were normalized using 18s rRNA oligonucleotides. The normalized cDNA samples were then used for candidate gene study using plant defense related gene oligonucleotides. While evaluating the expression of various defense related genes in *Foc* 1, 2 and 4 inoculated JG62 and Digvijay, the constitutive expression of these genes in uninoculated plants was negated from the inoculated plants, respectively (Figures 3 and 4).

#### 3.4.1. GroES2, 60srp and BetVI Expression in Chickpea upon Inoculation with *Foc* Races 1, 2 and 4

**3.4.1.1. BetVI Gene**

Pathogenesis related proteins (PR proteins) are reported to be extensively involved in chickpea defense against various pathogens [26]. In our study, *PR2*, *PR5* and *PR10* as well as *BetVI* which is known to show significant homologies to *PR10* proteins and is a major pollen allergen of several plant species [27-30] were screened for their reproducible, race specific and prolonged expression (2 - 16 dai) in both the chickpea cultivars.

Except *BetVI*, all the other PR proteins could not meet the above mentioned selection criteria. In inoculated plants, *BetVI* expression was pronounced around early stages of infection *i.e.* 2 dai in both, the resistant and susceptible cultivars, and the expression level dropped in both the cases by 13 dai (Figure 3). This is in accordance with the previous studies conducted by Foster-Hartnett *et al.* (2007) [32] where, during infection by *Erysiphe pisi*, resistant and susceptible cultivars of *Medicago truncatula* showed transcript accumulation. By 6 dai only *Foc* 1 inoculated JG62 showed higher expression as compared to *Foc* 2 and 4 inoculated JG62. In *Foc* 1, 2 and 4 inoculated resistant cultivar, Digvijay, higher gene expression was observed at 2 dai, *i.e.* in the earlier stage of infection,

![Figure 3. Heat map depicting the expression of plant defense related genes in *Foc* inoculated resistant and susceptible cultivars. While generating the heat map of various defense related genes in *Foc* 1, 2 and 4 inoculated JG62 and Digvijay, the constitutive expression of these genes in control plants was negated from the inoculated plants, respectively at all the time points of the study (Based on relative density index values). Heat map was generated using Multiexperiment viewer software [31].](image)
Figure 4. Heat map depicting the expression of fungal virulence related genes in Foc inoculated resistant and susceptible cultivars. While generating the heat map of various virulence related genes in Foc 1, 2 and 4 inoculated JG62 and Digvijay, the constitutive expression of these genes in control plants was negated from the inoculated plants, respectively at all the time points of the study (Based on relative density index values). Heat map was generated using Multiexperiment viewer software [31]. which declined by 6 dai. Interestingly, Betvl showed the highest expression in the early stages of infection (2 dai) and that too in susceptible cultivar, JG62 inoculated with Foc races (Figure 3).

3.4.1.2. Gene for 60s Ribosomal Protein (60srp)
In Foc 1, 2 and 4 inoculated chickpea expression of 60srp gene was the highest during early stage of infection followed by a gradual decline as the disease progressed. By 9 dai, 60srp gene expression was seen only in the resistant cultivar inoculated with Foc race 4 (Figure 3). In earlier reports also, consistent upregulation of 60srp gene transcripts was observed within mycorrhizal root tissues of tomato plants (at 10 dai) and during arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis of M. truncatula [33,34]. In comparative analysis, Foc challenged Digvijay showed an elevated expression of 60srp gene as compared to that in JG62 (Figure 3). However, Foc 4 challenged Digvijay showed higher 60srp gene response as compared to Foc 1 followed by Foc 2.

3.4.1.3. GroES2 Gene
As mentioned previously, chaperonins such as GroES2 are essential for plant function during stress conditions including pathogen attack. When inoculated with Foc races chickpea GroES2 chaperonin gene was found to express at 2 dai in race 1 inoculated Digvijay. After this the transcripts’ level declined drastically till 9 dai, however, the expression was maximum at 13 dai (Figure 3). Similarly, in Foc inoculated JG62, GroES2 expression was initially weak but showed an increase at 6 - 9 dai and was the maximum at 13 dai (Figure 3).

3.4.2. Expression of Major Genes of Phenylpropanoid Pathway in Chickpea upon Inoculation with Foc Races 1, 2 and 4
Phenolic compounds like phytoanticipins and phytoalexins are important plant secondary metabolites; which have been suggested to play diverse roles in plant defense against phytopathogens [35,36]. Medicarpin and Maakianin are the major phytoalexins involved in chickpea defense and are produced through phenylpropanoid pathway [37, 38]. Chalcone synthase (CHS) and Isoflavone reductase (IFR) are the two key enzymes that are involved in isoflavonoid production against biotic stresses.

3.4.2.1. Chalcone Synthase (CHS) Gene
In this study, expression of both, CHS and IFR, was analyzed against Foc inoculation in chickpea. When JG62 and Digvijay inoculated with Foc 1, 2 and 4 were compared for CHS expression; it was higher at 2 - 9 dai with a decline by 13 dai in the resistant cultivar, while the expression steadily decreased with the progression of disease in the susceptible cultivar. This decline was pronounced only in the case of race 2 and 4 inoculated Digvijay at 13 dai. However, interestingly Foc 2 inoculated Digvijay showed the highest CHS gene expression at 6 dai when compared to the expression level of both, JG62 and Digvijay, inoculated with Foc races (Figure 3).

3.4.2.2. Isoflavone Reductase (IFR) Gene
A constitutive expression of IFR gene was detected in all the analyzed root tissues. In general, expression level was higher in the resistant cultivar as compared to that in the susceptible one, in the inoculated tissues. Previous results obtained by Arfaoui et al. (2007) [39] supported this data; where they also showed an increase in IFR transcripts in resistant line suggesting the role of fungicidal isoflavones in the restriction of pathogen infection.
Foc 1 inoculated Digvijay showed higher level of IFR gene expression than JG62 upto 9 dai while by 13 dai no expression difference was observed in Digvijay and JG62. Foc 2 inoculated Digvijay and JG62 showed similar IFR gene expression profile at 2 - 13 dai. In case of Foc 4 inoculated Digvijay, expression was maximum at 6 dai, while it declined by 13 dai (Figure 3).

3.5. Highlighting Chickpea Defense Response to Foc Races

It was observed that race 1 inoculated, resistant cultivar, Digvijay showed higher expression of defense related genes namely, GroES2, 60srp, CHS and IFR, except BetvI, as compared to the susceptible cultivar JG62, throughout the study (Figure 3). In case of the Foc inoculated plants, expression of CHS and IFR genes was higher in Digvijay as compared to JG62 throughout the course of disease development, indicating its importance in defense against Foc. However, CHS showed less expression initially at 2 dai in Foc 4 inoculated Digvijay as compared to JG62, which enhanced at 6 and 9 dai where the expression of these two genes was higher in the resistant cultivar as compared to the susceptible cultivar JG62. In general, except for BetvI all the other genes namely, GroES2, 60srp, CHS and IFR showed higher expression in Digvijay as compared to JG62 almost till 13 dai in case of race 1, 2 and 4 indicating that these are involved in mounting defense against Foc attack.

3.6. Expression Analysis of Fungal Pathogenesis Related Genes from Foc

An array of fungal pathogenesis related genes have been reported till date which are involved in cell signaling, adhesion and appressoria formation, production of cell wall degrading enzymes (CWDEs) and toxins, etc. [40]. In the present study, expression of many of these genes during Foc infection to chickpea (both resistant and susceptible cultivars), was attempted, however, only a few genes could be successfully analyzed throughout the course of infection (2 to 16 dai). For example, genes encoding for CWDEs did not show a prolonged expression, while genes involved in appressoria formation could not be amplified in Foc. Thus, a few important genes essential for Foc infection were extensively studied, at various time points, throughout the course of infection. Foc 1, 2 and 4 inoculated JG62 and Digvijay root cDNAs were normalized prior to candidate gene studies with fungal specific ITS oligonucleotides. All the cDNA showed uniform amplification with ITS oligonucleotides. The oligonucleotides being specific to F. oxysporum, they did not show amplification in uninoculated chickpea cultivars. While evaluating the expression of various virulence related genes in Foc 1, 2 and 4 inoculated JG62 and Digvijay, the expression of ITS gene in these plants was negated from expression of virulence genes in inoculated JG62 and Digvijay, at all the stages, respectively.

3.6.1. Fowl1 Gene

Mitochondrial carrier protein Fow1, which is responsible for the transfer of tricarboxylates [41], has been reported to be essential for pathogenesis of F. oxysporum [10]. In the present study, Fow1 gene expression was initially strong in Foc 1, 2 and 4 infecting both, JG62 and Digvijay. Gradually, with the disease progression; the expression level enhanced in case of Foc infecting susceptible cultivar and diminished in resistant cultivar by 16 dai (Figure 4).

In comparative analysis, Fow1 gene expression was found to be higher in case of race 4 infecting JG62, especially at 9 to 16 dai. In case of Foc infected Digvijay, though the expression was initially high at 2 dai, it declined sharply by 6 dai after which it was gained again by 9 dai and was maximum only in case of Foc 2 infecting Digvijay at 13 dai (Figure 4).

3.6.2. Chs7 Gene

Chitin synthases (CSs) are integral membrane bound proteins that participate in the biosynthesis of chitin and are important for cell wall synthesis, hyphal growth and differentiation. Fungal CSs occur in specialized vesicles in cytosole called chitosomes [42] which are responsible for their transport from ER to the cell surface. Chitin synthase VII (Chs7) is a chaperonin like ER protein involved in export of CS from ER to cell surface.

In the present study Chs7 gene expression was initially weak, however, its prolonged expression was observed in case of Foc inoculated JG62 than in case of Foc inoculated Digvijay (Figure 4). In general, Chs7 gene expression was the highest at 16 dai in case of both, the resistant and the susceptible cultivars.

3.6.3. Gas1 Gene

Glucanosyltransferases are essential for growth and morphogenesis of fungi and thus, are involved in fungal pathogenesis as well, when the fungus comes in contact with the host tissue [43]. The temporal expression of Gas1 revealed involvement of this gene only in the early stage of disease development and establishment. Gas1 was found to be expressing in Foc 1 infecting JG62 at 2 to 6 dai and in Foc 4 at 13 to 16 dai while Gas1 expression was observed in Foc inoculated Digvijay only at 16 dai. In case of race 1 inoculated JG62, Gas1 gene expression was observed at 16 dai as well (Figure 4).

3.6.4. Fgb1 Gene

F. oxysporum G protein subunit (Fgb1) has been reported to be essential for cell signaling, hyphal growth, coni-
diation as well as virulence. In this study race specific response of Fgb1 was observed in Foc race 1, 2 and 4 inoculated resistant and susceptible cultivars (Figure 4). Foc 1 and Foc 2 showed progressively intense expression of Fgb1 gene till 16 dai as compared to Foc 4 infecting JG62, which showed maximum expression at 9 dai.

A reduced gene expression response was observed in case of Digvijay, especially where no expression of Fgb1 gene was observed at 2 to 6 dai in case of Foc 1, 2 and 4 infecting Digvijay; while race 1 inoculated Digvijay showed expression from 9 to 16 dai, though it was manifold low as compared to JG62. A slight expression was detected at 9 dai in case of Foc inoculated Digvijay which diminished at 13 dai. Comparative analysis of expression of Fgb1 in case of susceptible (JG62) and resistant (Digvijay) cultivars of chickpea with Foc races 1, 2 and 4 indicated that in general Fgb1 gene expression was higher in case of Foc inoculated JG62 as compared to Digvijay (Figure 4).

3.7. Temporal Expression of Disease Resistance Genes (in Chickpea) in Response to Foc Inoculation

This study was performed to understand chickpea-Fusarium interactions at transcriptional level for both, plant defense as well as fungal virulence related genes. Not many studies have been attempted to determine the defense related gene expression in chickpea against biotic stresses like Fusarium wilt. A few studies which have been previously conducted specify the defense transcript accumulation only during the first few hours of plant infection process, when the pathogen has merely entered the host plant and has started to establish itself. Analysis of defense related genes such as basic glucanase, ascorbate peroxidase, glutathione reductase [44], phenylalanine ammonium lyase, CHS and IFR [39] revealed only slight increase in the expression of these genes between resistant and susceptible accessions. It indicated that no significant differential expression of these genes correlates with Fusarium wilt resistance. Comparatively, in our studies imperative variations were observed which could be due to the time scale chosen for gene expression analysis. Also, continuous exposure to the pathogen load (mimicking the field conditions) could be a reason for such dramatic differences. We included a stretched time scale (2 to 16 dai), throughout the course of fungal infection and disease development; for determining the defense and virulence gene expression. Earlier, individual studies using Foc race 0 and race 1 have been conducted for profiling defense gene expression in chickpea [39,44], however, this is the first report of a comparative study exploring the gene specific transcript accumulation against three different Foc races causing wilt in the Indian subcontinent. In previous studies conducted using Foc inoculated cultivars, the expression level of defense related genes was found to be much higher in moderately resistant accession than the susceptible accession [38]. Similar results were reported by others [45] who showed that the level of accumulation of transcripts could be correlated with the differences in resistance/susceptibility of the host plant. Overall, in the present study, an enhanced expression of plant defense related genes was observed in case of resistant inoculated cultivar as compared to susceptible inoculated cultivar. Additionally, genes like glycosyltransferase, Msr, Betvl and GroES2 which have not been previously harnessed for their roles in plant defense; especially in chickpea, were found to express upon pathogen attack.

Fungal virulence genes like Fgb1, Gas1, Chs7 and Fow1 which have been previously reported to be essential for various cellular functions including fungal pathogenesis; showed an elevated expression during 9 to 16 dai indicating the window period of disease progression. Earlier studies conducted for the above mentioned virulence genes established their role in fungal pathogenesis and development in several systems [10,46], while in our study the temporal expression and race specific behavior of the suite of these virulence genes against both, the resistant and the susceptible cultivars, has been analyzed.

3.8. Race Specific Interaction of Chickpea-Fusarium oxysporum

Interestingly, in the present study, the response of plant defense genes has been observed to be specific to Foc races. All the genes except Betvl, namely GroES2, 60srp, CHS and IFR, showed higher expression in resistant chickpea cultivar, Digvijay vis-à-vis susceptible cultivar JG62, when exposed to race 1. This clearly indicated that, to establish resistance against Foc race 1 collective response of all the four genes under present study was essential whereas for remaining two races (Foc 2 and Foc 4) differential upregulation of some of these genes was sufficient to give complete resistance in Digvijay.

Similarly out of four fungal genes assessed in the present study, all the four genes revealed higher expression in the susceptible chickpea cultivar, JG62 than in the resistant chickpea cultivar, Digvijay when inoculated with race 1. While only Fgb1 and Fow1 genes were upregulated in Foc inoculated JG62 at 2 to 6 dai. The study indicated that amongst these four genes, Fow1 and Fgb1 are the most essential genes for prolonged virulence in Foc during its infection to chickpea; followed by Gas1 and then Chs7, confirming race specific involvement of these genes in establishing pathogenicity of Foc in chickpea.

Thus, it is noteworthy that, though a higher level of fungal virulence gene expression is essential for disease development in chickpea; comparatively a low level of plant defense related gene expression is sufficient to allow
complete disease resistance in resistant chickpea cultivar Digvijay. Protecting Digvijay from pathogen attack can be accredited to the enhanced expression of the defense related genes; however, there is a need to further explore the exact role of these genes and their interaction with others during defense, for the confirmation of this hypothesis.
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