Regression Analysis for the Identification of RAPD Markers Linked To Drought Tolerance in Sorghum
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Abstract

Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) can actually withstand in dry or drought condition better than other crops, therefore it can be grown at different agroclimatic conditions and its product can be used for different purposes such as food, feed and industrial raw material. However at severe condition, the productivity will also drop drastically. The aim of this research was to identify RAPD marker linked to the drought tolerance. In this research, varieties of sorghum used as research materials were Durra, Zhengzu, the mutants of Durra and Zhengzu (from 300 Gy gamma radiation) B-100 and Zh-30, and the F2 seeds from Zh-30 x B-100 and B-100 x Zh-30. Drought screening was carried out using 0.3 % KI during sorghum vegetative stage. DNA extraction was done using a modified CTAB method. PCR was carried out for RAPD analysis. PCR amplification products were scored and analyzed using SAS program. The result showed that potassium iodide can be used for drought screening during the vegetative stage and regression analysis using the logistic method can be used to identify RAPD markers that is linked to drought tolerance in sorghum. The logistic analysis showed that band A8-480 was linked to drought tolerance in sorghum.
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Introduction

Sorghum is the fourth most important world cereal following wheat, rice and corn. It is a staple food in the drier parts of the world such as Africa, India and also China (Zidenga, 2004). The grain can be used for flour to make bread, porridge and for brewing beer. The large juicy sweet stems are used for chewing and making syrup and sugar. Sorghum grain and straw, taken after harvesting can be used to feed cattle or other livestock. Other uses are for making brooms, fences, or starch production from cultivars with waxy endosperms (Purseglove, 1972). Sorghum has been planted in dry areas as it can withstand dry condition or drought better than other crops (Carvalho et al., 2000). However, in an extreme condition sorghum can also fail to reach its optimum yield because water is an essential need.

Since sorghum can withstand dry condition, it is interesting to study the mechanism of its molecular activities. Subudhi et al. (2002) discovered that sorghum can grow in dry conditions due to its stay green characteristics. Observations showed that the stay green characteristics may be controlled by more than one gene. Yield is also another characteristic which is known to be controlled by more than one gene or polygenic and there is also epistasis and interaction with the environment (Yin et al., 2003). If more than one gene is involved then identifying or locating them becomes difficult, due to the inheritance of the characteristics cannot be easily identified from the phenotype. The quantitative trait
Quantitative trait loci actually describes an area on the chromosome which is explained by linkage with one or two markers close to the QTL of a specific trait. The markers used are usually DNA markers (Gupta, 2002), because DNA marker is not affected by the environment. According to Mutengwa et al. (2005), molecular markers can provide a powerful tool for crop improvement. To detect molecular markers, several techniques such as hybridization, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or based on DNA sequence can be explored (Collard et al., 2005). Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) is PCR based techniques which produce a dominant marker and can be used for many organisms without prior knowledge of its nucleotide sequence (Zidenga, 2004; Kumar, et al., 2006).

From statistical perspective, methods for QTL mapping are generally based on least square, maximum likelihood and Bayesian approaches (Wang et al., 2006). Gupta (2002) mentioned three methods to detect QTL i.e. single marker analysis, simple interval mapping (SIM) and composite interval mapping (CIM). The single marker analysis is the earliest and simplest methods to detect QTL. Single marker analysis can be approached using the regression method (Haley and Knott, 1992). Kalbehdari and Robinson (2007) reported that linear regression has been able to be used with single and multiple markers.

Blum et al. (1983) developed a technique to stimulate the effect of drought stress by inhibiting current assimilation. Using small plots of wheat, a solution of magnesium or sodium chlorate with 4% active ingredient was sprayed on the plants surface. The chemical which was a desiccant killed all photosynthetic tissue including leaves, leaf sheath, glumes and awns. When plants were devoid of chlorophyll then it could proceed grain filling only with plant reserves. Potassium iodide could also be used as it has been done in Australia (Farag, 2004).

The objective of this research was to identify RAPD markers that are linked to drought tolerance in two sorghum varieties (from ICRISAT and China), their mutant and non-mutant populations.

**Materials and Methods**

Materials used to identify RAPD markers linked to drought tolerance were sorghum varieties Durra which was introduced from ICRISAT and Zhengzu from China, their mutants B-100 and ZH-30 and also the F2 generation from Zh-30 x B-100 and B-100 x Zh-30 (Figure 1) to produce 50 plants that were needed for the DNA analysis. The mutants used are from the M10 generation. The mutant B-100 was used as the male parent and is more tolerant to drought, higher in plant height (85-165 cm) and produced yellowish grain. The mutant Zh-30 was used as the female parent and was less tolerant to drought, shorter (80-130 cm) and produced white grains better for human consumption.
The sorghum varieties have been used by PATIR-BATAN (The Center for application of Isotope and Radiation-National Nuclear Energy Centre) for plant breeding program using mutation. Mutation was induced using Gamma ray from Cobolt-60.

The F2 population that were used for this research consisted of 12 population (E-P). Those 12 population were from crosses of Zh-30 x B-100 and B-100 x Zh-30. Population with codes E – M were from crosses of Zh-30 x B-100 and N – P were from crosses of B-100 x Zh-30.

**Evaluation of drought tolerance**

The plant materials used in this experiment were non-mutant Durra (A), non-mutant Zhengzu, mutant Durra (C) and mutant Zhengzu (D). The seeds were planted in plots and arranged in completely randomized design. Treatment with potassium iodide (KI) at 0.3% w/v) was carried out on the sorghum leaves at the vegetative stage or 5 weeks old plant by spraying a KI solution and irrigation was kept normal. The selection criteria for tolerant and non-tolerant plants was observed from the change in the colour of leaves. If the leaves turned brown then the plant is noted as non-tolerant. If the leaves turned yellow then the plant is tolerant. A minimum of 50 plants were observed and used for the DNA analysis.

**DNA analysis**

DNA was isolated from 3-4 week old plants using a modified CTAB (Cetyl trimethyl Ammonium Bromide) method. The leaves were cut for samples (85 mg) and only one replication was sampled for DNA extraction. The DNA was purified using GeneClean® III kit (MP Biomedicals) and it was quantified using GeneQuant to measure the amount of extracted DNA. Dilution was done to obtain a final DNA concentration of 2.5 ng/μl for PCR analysis.

The PCR reaction was done with a total volume of 10 μl for each sample using the PCR kit AmpliTaq®DNA Polymerase Stoffel Fragment (Applied Biosystem). The six RAPD primers consisted of Proligo A8, A13, A20, D3, B7, and T14 were used to amplify DNA template. Amplification was done using a PCR machine Perkin Elmer 9600. Initial heating was done at 94°C for 3 min, incubation at 95°C for 1 min, then followed by 45 cycles with each cycle at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing at 37°C for 30 sec and elongation at 72°C for 1 min 30 sec followed by final elongation at 72°C for 7 min.

To analyze the PCR product, DNA cocktails were electrophoresed on the agarose gel. The gel was made with 76 ml Psd H2O, 1.4 g agarose (1.75%) and 4 ml 20X TBE buffer which was a mix of 0.45M tris-HCl pH8,0.45M boric acid, and 20mM EDTA. The mixture was then diluted properly through warm boiling and when the solution became warm, it was added with 5 μl ethidium bromide. The electrophoresis buffer was made from 5 l of H2O, 250 ml of 20 X TBE and 250 μl of ethidium bromide. Electrophoresis was done after adding 2 μl GL3 in each well containing amplified DNA from the PCR. As a marker, 10 μl of 100 bp DNA ladder was used. Electrophoresis was carried out for 2.5 h at 120 V.

Visualization of electrophoresis product was done using the Fotodyne Image Analyzer with a UV light. Scoring of visualized bands was done by scoring 1 if the band was presence and 0 for no observable band. Scoring was done for each individual plant.

**Data analysis**

The scoring data was used to estimate the linkage between the RAPD markers and drought tolerance in sorghum using regression analysis of SAS program and logistic procedures (Anonym, 2002).

**Results and Discussion**

**Drought experiment**

Observation of plants that were tolerant or not tolerant to Potassium Iodida (KI) was done three days after spraying because the effect of KI did not appear immediately. With this method drought tolerant sorghum could
be differentiated from the sensitive plant due to its stay green characteristics. With such technique, to screen drought tolerant cereals, it was not neccesary to be carried out in dry condition and saves cost needed from large area of land (Tuinstra et al., 1996).

The use of KI caused a gradual loss of chlorophyll such as plants in a drought stress situation (Farag, 2004). Potassium iodide was sprayed at the vegetative stage because at this stage sorghum is tolerant to drought stress and the stress would not have an effect on yield. This was important even though yield was not one of the characteristics measured but the grains would be used again in further experiments. The use of KI simulated drought and had been used by several researchers such as Royo et al. (2008) in Triticale trujilo. Potassium iodide was given 10 days after anthesis and the reduced yield due to KI was almost the same as planted in dry condition.

According to Collard et al. (2005), the ratio expected for a dominant marker in an F2 population is 3:1 (B_:bb). The use of a dominant marker, however, could not differentiate a homozygote and heterozygote genotypes. The segregation ratio of the marker could be seen with a Punnet square. In an F3 population, the ratio must be 3:2:3 (Zubay, 1987). By using a dominant marker the ratio became 5:3. If the gene controlling drought tolerance was controlled by a single dominant gene, then the ratio of the phenotype would be a ratio of 5 tolerant individuals : 3 non tolerant individual.

In this experiment, besides the mutant and non mutant parents, the F3 populations chosen were population L and M which showed segregation in drought tolerance (Table 1) and enough number of plants needed to carry DNA analysis. A minimum of 50 plants were needed to carry out QTL analysis. A segregation in the drought tolerant characteristics or a ratio that was not according to Mendelian ratio might be due to interaction of genes such as epistasis, linkage, crossing, selfing or the effect of the environment (Van Oosterom et al., 1996).

**Identification of RAPD marker linked to drought tolerance in sorghum**

The simplest type of population used for QTL analysis was an F2 population which was easy to construct and required a short time to produce and had all the combination of alleles from the parent. In this experiment, the F3 populations were used because the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Total number of plants</th>
<th>Number of tolerant plants</th>
<th>Number of non tolerant plants</th>
<th>Ratio tolerant : non tolerant plants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0 : 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0 : 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0 : 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10 : 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0 : 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0 : 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17 : 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 : 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8 : 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 : 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
number of seeds in the F2 populations were not enough for a QTL analysis which must be more 50 individual per population (Collard et al., 2005). However, F3 population have been known to be used as evaluation for F2 plants. Gupta (1996) mentioned progeny test of F2 individuals (F3 population) could still be used in making maps when the phenotype did not reflect genotype such as disease resistance or other characteristics which were polygenically controlled.

PCR analysis using primer A8 showed a specific band in the durra population. Mutant Durra can be identified using primer A13 with the presence of bands at 480 bp, 450 bp and 420 bp while mutant Zhengzu had a specific band at 380 bp (Figure 2). Using primer A20, a band at 650 bp showed for the mutant Durra population while primer D3 showed a specific band at 520 bp for mutant Zhengzu and can be seen in its F3 population. Primer B7 showed a specific band at 650 bp for mutant Zhengzu and 420 bp for mutant Durra which could be used to differentiate individuals in the F3 population. Primer T14 showed a band at 420 bp which occurred only in Zhengzu population.

There were different methods for QTL mapping (Wang et al., 2006). The simplest method was based on linear regression which can identify the association of phenotypic trait with marker classes by contrasting the mean of marker types, therefore linear regression approach seems very similar to analysis of variance (Kearsey, 1998). Such methods did not locate the QTL but simply confirm that the “eyeballed” location indicated a real effect. Logically, if the marker and the QTL were on different chromosomes, there will be no regression, but if they were on the same chromosome, the regression will be significant and show that the marker was close to the QTL. The easiest way to evaluate the fitness of the regression would be from the coefficient of determination ($R^2$). With a regression approach of RFLP markers, Masood et al. (2004) showed several markers linked to some agronomic characteristics in rice.

Table 2. Coefficient of determination regression equation for each primer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Coefficient of determination ($R^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>0.4237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13</td>
<td>0.3805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A20</td>
<td>0.3923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>0.3248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7</td>
<td>0.2555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T14</td>
<td>0.2596</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primer A8 showed the highest coefficient of determination of 0.4237. It meant that 42.37% of the variation in drought tolerance can be explained by the bands from amplicon bands of primer A8. The lowest value occurred

![Figure 2](image-url). Amplification product of primer A8 (A) B-100 (B) Zh-30 (Note: arrow showing specific band at 480bp which is monomorphic for the drought tolerant mutant B-100)
with B7 with \( R^2 = 0.2555 \). According to the \( R^2 \) value, primer A8 could be more likely used as a marker for drought tolerance in sorghum (Table 2). The correlation between markers and quantitative characteristics could also be observed from its regression coefficient (b) for each marker. Regression analysis based on the model:

\[
Y = a + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + \ldots + b_jX_j + \ldots + b_nX_n + d + e,
\]

where b were partial regression coefficients which show a relationship between variable \( Y \) and \( X_j \), d was the residual effect and e was the error from Y due to variation in the environment (Virk et al., 2009).

According to Xu et al. (1998), many characteristics in plants and animals which were economically important were expressed in binary forms. Binary characteristics which cannot be explained by Mendelian inheritance were called complex binary traits and could be explained using the probit model. In a probit model, the residual error was assumed to be in normal distribution while logistic regression used a maximum likelihood estimation after transforming the dependent variable into a logit variable.

In this experiment both the regression method and the maximum likelihood method were used to find out the relationship between markers and drought tolerance in sorghum.

Regression analysis for QTL mapping have been used by many researchers such as Haley and Knott (1992) using F2 population, Rebai (1997) and Xu et al. (2005) using binary data. The results all showed that simple regression method was similar to using a maximum likelihood or probit model. From the six primers used (A8, A13, A20, D3, B7, T14) which produced 96 polymorphic bands or markers then could be used to search RAPD markers linked to drought tolerance in sorghum using the stepwise program. Using the \texttt{proc reg step} in SAS as it has been done by Haley and Knott (1992), marker 480 bp from primer A8 showed the most significant chance of being a marker for drought tolerance in sorghum. A similar result showed using the \texttt{proc logistic} step in SAS version 9 (Table 3).

The estimates (b) or the regression coefficients which were significant (from all 96 bands produced) in two markers or bands from primer A8 at 700 bp and 480 bp. The estimate or the value of b was larger in 480 bp which showed that this band could explain better or could detect more accurately the drought tolerance characteristics (Y) in sorghum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Estimate (b)</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>Pr &gt; ChiSq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A8–700 bp</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6034</td>
<td>0.3258</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8–480 bp</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3959</td>
<td>0.3671</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result from the logistic regression analysis using stepwise program showed that the linear model for the relationship between drought tolerance characteristics (Y variable) and the bands from each primer (X variable) for Durra and Zhengzu sorghums was:

\[
Y = -2.3409 + 1.6034 (A8-700 bp) + 2.3959 (A8-480 bp).
\]

It showed that the use of A8-400 bp band would provide more chance to screen drought tolerant lines than A8-700b, although both alleles (marked by the bands at A8-480 and A8-700) could be situated at the same chromosome for drought tolerance. A8-480 bp band was probably located more close to the QTL due to a higher coefficient of 2.3959 show that it can be used as a stronger marker for the tolerant variety. This result is the same as in Figure 2 where a specific band occur at 480 for tolerant variety B-100 (specific band showed by arrow).

Although this finding has not been applied to assist selection, regression approach from practice point of view would be the approach of choice because it offered greater speed and flexibility (Knott, 2005). It was relatively fast to be implemented, easy to be generalized to experimental population and
easy to include cofactor. Regression approach showed good robustness properties against non-normality and the estimation procedure was also distribution free (Rubai, 1997). Regression based QTL will continue to play a role, given its status as a simple framework in which to fit complicated model (Knott, 2005).

The use of molecular marker such as RAPD would be more benefit to assist selection because molecular markers in general were scattered through the genome and their associations with various agronomic traits could be useful to help crop improvement program (Muthusamy et al., 2008). Tanksley (1993) mentioned five inherent properties of molecular markers that was distinguished from morphological markers. The phenotype of most morphological markers could only be determined at the whole plant level, whereas molecular loci could be assayed at the whole plant, tissue, and cellular level. Allele frequency tended to be much higher at the molecular loci compared with morphological markers. Alleles at morphological loci interacted in dominant-recessive manner that limit the identification of heterozygotes genotypes, while some molecular loci exhibited a codominant mode of inheritance. Only fewer epistatic and pleitropic effects are observed with molecular markers and large number of polymorphic markers could be generated and monitored in a single cross only. It was believed that the use of molecular marker can speed up new cultivar development.

From this experiment, it could be concluded that screening for drought tolerance using 0.3% KI on sorghum was efficient because screening could be done three days after treatment and not dependent on the season or weather, and RAPD could be used to observe variation in sorghum and (3) QTL analysis using regression can be used to find specific marker for drought tolerance in sorghum.
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